

REMARKS

Fig. 4 is amended to correct a typographical error (numeral 107 is replaced with 106). Support for the amendment is found in the specification, page 10, line 17 (“server 106”).

Claims 70-71, 74-75, 78-80, 83-85, 88-90, 93-95 are believed to be allowable for the reasons given in Applicants’ AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION filed August 8, 2003, and REPLY TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION mailed October 1, 2003.

The Advisory Action, dated October 15, 2003, is not understood. The Examiner states that Applicants’ REPLY TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION “fails to address claim limitations”. It is unclear which parts of Applicants’ REPLY are in view. Clarification is requested.

The Advisory Action also states that Applicants’ arguments “are not convincing since the conditions sensed and available include vehicle location, road conditions, weather, accidents, emergencies, traffic flow and POIs”. It is unclear how these conditions relate to the pushing recited in the claims. Also, what is “POIs”?

New Claims 130-135 are supported by Applicants’ Fig. 3 and specification, page 8, line 26 through page 9, line 6. (The claims are not limited to the embodiments of Fig. 3 and the specification.)

Bunn does not teach or suggest the invention defined by these claims.

Claim 136 is supported by Applicants’ Figs. 4 and 5 as follows (note the amended Fig. 4 enclosed herewith):

Claim 136	Corresponding elements in Figs. 4, 5
Server	106
First mobile device	101

Claim 136	Corresponding elements in Figs. 4, 5
Receiver	103
Second mobile device	110
First communication link	402 (Fig. 4) or 501 (Fig. 5)
Second communication link	118 or 119 (Fig. 4), or 113, 114, or 115 (Fig. 5)

Claim 137 is supported by Fig. 5. Claim 138 is supported by Fig. 4. Claim 139 is supported by page 9, line 31 through page 10, line 6. Claim 140 is supported by page 7, lines 15-16.

Claims 141-145 are supported by the same portions of the specification and the drawings as the respective Claims 136-140.

The claims are not limited to the embodiments described in the specification and the drawings.

Bunn does not teach or suggest the communication links arranged as in Claims 136-145.

Any questions regarding this case can be addressed to the undersigned at the telephone number below.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on November 7, 2003.

Michael Shenker Nov. 7, 03
Attorney for Applicant(s) Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Shenker

Michael Shenker
Patent Attorney
Reg. No. 34,250
Telephone: (408) 392-9250

Law Offices Of
MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP
1762 Technology Drive, Suite 226
San Jose, CA 95110